

Preston New Road Exploration Works | Community Liaison Group

Date:

Monday, 15th January 2018

Venue:

Wrea Green Institute, Station Road, Wrea Green, Preston, PR4 2PH

Attending:

Councillor Paul Hayhurst, member for Fylde West division on Lancashire County Council
Councillor Julie Brickles, member for Warton and Westby ward on Fylde Borough Council
Councillor John Kirkham, member for Warton and Westby ward on Fylde Borough Council
Councillor Dawn Ansell, Weeton-with-Preese Parish Council
Councillor Miranda Cox, Kirkham Town Council
Councillor Stuart Harrison, Medlar-with-Wesham Town Council
David Kirkham, Westby-with-Plumpton Parish Council
Mary Finn, Local Community Representative
Jan Gregson, Local Community Representative
Liz Cheadle, Local Community Representative
Christopher Holliday, Local Community Representative
Graham Daniels, Local Community Representative
Mary Ellison, Local Community Representative
Chief Inspector Keith Ogle, Lancashire Constabulary
Sergeant Andy Hill, Lancashire Constabulary
Steve Molyneux, Environment Agency
Jonathan Haine, Lancashire County Council
Mark Lappin, Cuadrilla
Laura Hughes, Cuadrilla
Jackie Dobson, Lexington Communications

Apologies:

Tony Almond, Health & Safety Executive

Item		Action
1.	Welcome and introductions Councillor Hayhurst welcomed attendees. Jackie Dobson noted the apology received.	
2.	Agree meeting report of 18th December 2017 Members approved the meeting report as an accurate record.	

<p>3.</p>	<p>Review actions arising from previous meeting</p> <p><i>Action 1. Jonathan Haine to confirm with Fylde Borough Council – Referring to the concerns raised regarding whether employees residing onsite could apply for a Certificate of Lawfulness, Jonathan Haine advised that this could not happen. He explained that the plans submitted as part of the planning application showed welfare facilities for staff and that the lawful use of the site is for exploration.</i></p> <p>Councillor Brickles questioned the description of the welfare facilities, suggesting that this would usually include a toilet and canteen, rather than sleeping accommodation. Councillor Hayhurst and Councillor Brickles cited experience of dealing with applications for caravans to become permanent residencies.</p> <p>Councillor Ansell requested details of how long employees are residing on the site. Mark Lappin advised that this varies depending on the nature of the stay. He went onto explain that employees may stay overnight on the site, for example a geologist reviewing core samples during the night, whilst others, such as the drilling supervisor, will stay onsite when working in shifts.</p> <p>A discussion followed regarding how the situation could be resolved, with Mark Lappin agreeing to write to Lancashire County Council, as the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority, to advise of the typical and maximum number of employees residing onsite. Councillor Hayhurst requested that the letter be copied to Fylde Borough Council.</p> <p>Reiterating previous concerns raised, Jan Gregson questioned whether the protestors residing at nearby camps could also apply for Certificates of Lawfulness. Councillor Ansell advised that the protestors are protected under Section 6 [Criminal Law Act 1977]. Mark Lappin suggested that this was not the case for those residing at Maple Farm which is also a business where protestors have not claimed Section 6 status. Councillor Hayhurst requested clarification is sought on this issue and Jonathan Haine agreed to liaise with Fylde Borough Council.</p> <p><i>Action 2. Jackie Dobson to liaise with Sergeant Hill regarding the meeting note – Jackie Dobson advised that the meeting note had been updated as she had liaised with Sergeant Hill regarding the visits undertaken to the site. Sergeant Hill clarified that the visits to the camps at Maple Farm, near B&Q and Lytham Windows had been conducted by Lancashire Fire & Rescue and Fylde Borough Council, rather than the police, in October. He explained that Lancashire Police had visited the camps in November on the day of the flash floods to check on the welfare of campaigners.</i></p> <p><i>Action 3. Jackie Dobson to liaise with Steve Molyneux to confirm any amends –</i></p>	<p>Mark Lappin to write to Lancashire County Council & Fylde Borough Council</p> <p>Jonathan Haine to liaise with Fylde Borough Council</p>
------------------	--	---

<p>Jackie Dobson advised that no amends were suggested.</p> <p><i>Action 4. Jackie Dobson to add to the agenda</i> – Jackie Dobson confirmed that noise monitoring had been added to the agenda for Lancashire County Council’s update.</p> <p><i>Action 5. Councillor Cox to share footage with Mark Lappin</i> – Referring to footage she had offered to supply to Mark Lappin showing an incident of a vehicle approaching the site at high speed, Councillor Cox advised that she had been unable to locate the footage. Instead, she noted that several photographs of HGVs being directed onto the road since December’s CLG meeting had been provided.</p> <p>Referring to the photographs, Mark Lappin advised that since the last meeting, two HGVs had crossed the centre line within the bell mouth. He noted that Cuadrilla will continue to work to ensure that vehicles avoid crossing the centre line when entering or leaving the site. Councillor Cox agreed to circulate footage and / or photographs when she receives them.</p> <p><i>Action 6. Jackie Dobson to add to agenda</i> – Jackie Dobson confirmed that the ePortal had been added to the agenda.</p> <p><i>Action 7. Jackie Dobson to inform CLG members & the Parish Council</i> – Councillor Hayhurst confirmed that details of the seismic survey had been circulated to members.</p> <p><i>Action 8. Mark Lappin to consider feedback</i> – Referring to the previous discussion regarding the application to vary the waste environmental permit to discharge surface water in Carr Bridge Brook, Mark Lappin advised that Cuadrilla has been working with the Environmental Agency. He acknowledged previous concerns raised that this will exacerbate existing issues relating to flooding in the area, but reiterated that Cuadrilla is not ‘creating’ additional water but is managing rainwater as others in the area need to.</p> <p>Mark Lappin advised attendees of the heavy rainfall that had recently been experienced in the area and explained the options available to allow Cuadrilla to discharge the surface water. He explained that water is currently tanked off the site, but that, in recent weeks the company has installed a storage system for water. Given this change, if discharge permit was to be granted, Cuadrilla could store the water onsite and discharge it when appropriate to do so. He proposed that this would be preferable to simply allowing rain falling onto the site to move into the existing drainage system.</p> <p>Councillor Hayhurst reiterated previous frustrations regarding the lack of a joined-up approach by United Utilities, the Environment Agency, Fylde Borough</p>	
--	--

	<p>Council and Lancashire County Council to deal with flooding. He advised that, as an elected member, he receives many complaints and concerns regarding flooding. He questioned the ability of Carr Bridge Brook to accommodate the discharged surface water and stated that he anticipated that flooding will worsen as a result of proposed residential developments in the area.</p> <p>These concerns were echoed by Councillor Brickles who criticised the provision of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDs) with new housing schemes to mitigate against flooding. She advised that, as a member of Fylde Borough Council's Planning Committee, councillors are unable to refuse planning applications due to flooding if the Environment Agency, as a statutory consultee, does not raise any objections.</p> <p>Due to his position as a local farmer, Councillor Hayhurst sought the view of Councillor Kirkham. Referring to the local drainage network being around 300 years old, Councillor Kirkham advised that increasing pressure is being placed on a system that is currently unable to cope. He questioned whether the discharge of surface water into Carr Bridge Brook would exacerbate existing issues and suggested that no further water is released into the system.</p> <p>Mark Lappin explained that discharging surface water into Carr Bridge Brook and avoiding periods of heavy rainfall would reduce the risk of flooding compared to it moving into the existing drains naturally. Mark Lappin advised that Cuadrilla will continue to work with the Environment Agency to achieve the best option for discharging surface water with concerns raised over flooding in mind.</p> <p>Echoing concerns raised, Graham Daniels questioned why Cuadrilla had not previously considered how it would remove surface water from the site. Disputing this, Mark Lappin explained that Cuadrilla had considered how to remove water and currently uses tankers to store surface water and then remove it from the site. As information grows through the works at site it is reasonable to consider alternative solutions.</p> <p>Councillor Ansell asked how much storage is available on the site and how surface water is collected. Mark Lappin advised that the storage equates to approximately 45 tankers and explained that water is pumped from the ditch around the site into the tankers.</p> <p>Councillor Harrison suggested that Cuadrilla engages with local farmers regarding the concerns relating to flooding and to discuss mitigation measures. Mark Lappin advised that Cuadrilla has worked with the landowner for the Preston New Road site to improve drainage and is very willing to co-operate more broadly with landowners and community members.</p>	
--	--	--

<p>Steve Molyneux advised that he would be unable to comment on the specific issues relating to variation to the environmental permit as the consultation remains ongoing. Nonetheless, he explained that flood risk management is complex, citing the involvement of several agencies and Government funding to reduce flood risk.</p> <p>He suggested that if attendees wanted to know more about the efforts to protect against flooding, he could invite colleagues from the Environment Agency to attend a forthcoming meeting to discuss further. It was agreed that Westby-with-Plumpton Parish Council would facilitate a meeting and liaise with Steve Molyneux. Mark Lappin advised that Cuadrilla would be willing to participate in ongoing discussions regarding flooding.</p> <p><i>Action 9. Mark Lappin to check</i> – Addressing previous concerns raised regarding the brightness of the operation, Mark Lappin advised that he had reviewed the lights provided on site. He confirmed that the site is not significantly brighter, but referred to several lights that cast light up and down and could make the site appear brighter, particularly at night. He advised that he would continue to monitor this and address the issue, where possible. Councillor Brickles recommended that the site is viewed from Ballam Road where the operation looks like a spaceship has landed.</p> <p>Mark Lappin agreed to conduct a visit to the surrounding area to understand the scale of the light levels.</p> <p>Mark Lappin referred to the red aircraft warning light that has been installed on the rig following a request from a member of staff at Blackpool Airport. He noted that this had not been a requirement and offered to reconsider its presence if it is deemed too bright. Attendees confirmed that this light is not bright and is not causing concern.</p> <p><i>Action 10. Mark Lappin to confirm the height of the equipment</i> – Mark Lappin advised that the additional equipment required to progress operations on site would have a maximum height of 20metres.</p> <p>In response to Councillor Ansell’s question regarding flaring, Laura Hughes advised that the flare stack is fully enclosed to allow the burning process to be controlled and the flame is not visible. Laura Hughes advised that she would check the height of the flare stack and confirm to attendees.</p> <p><i>Action 11. Jonathan Haine to update</i> – Referring to a noise complaint received by Lancashire County Council on Monday, 11th December, Jonathan Haine explained that Cuadrilla had investigated the issue, but that the monitoring data showed that Cuadrilla had operated within the noise limits; a breach did not occur.</p>	<p>Steve Molyneux and Westby-with-Plumpton Parish Council to liaise</p> <p>Laura Hughes to check</p> <p>Mark Lappin to check</p>
--	--

	<p>Elaborating on this, Christopher Holliday advised that further noise was heard on Friday, 12th January and Saturday, 13th January. Mark Lappin stated that he would review the noise data and encouraged attendees to contact Cuadrilla to provide details of any disturbances so that Cuadrilla can investigate further. He noted that he would check noise levels on said days. Christopher Holliday noted that the ePortal states that Cuadrilla is monitoring noise 26 hours a day. Mark Lappin thanked him for this and agreed to review and change.</p> <p>Councillor Cox referred to residents of the roadside camp complaining of noise in the early hours of the morning on Saturday, 6th January and Sunday, 7th January and questioned whether this was as a result of the geophysical survey on land adjacent to the site using a seismic vibroseis unit. Mark Lappin confirmed that this had not begun until daytime Saturday and was complete before Sunday night.</p> <p><i>Action 12. John Neville to liaise with Steve Molyneux</i> – Noting the request for details of waste disposal from the site, including identifying waste streams, Steve Molyneux stated that this had been circulated to members via the secretariat.</p> <p><i>Action 13. Jackie Dobson to circulate to CLG members</i> – Jackie Dobson confirmed that she had circulated the written statement provided by Tony Almond of the Health & Safety Executive.</p> <p><i>Action 14. Jackie Dobson to circulate to CLG members</i> – Jackie Dobson confirmed that she had circulated the written statement provided by Sergeant Andy Hill of Lancashire Police.</p>	
<p>4.</p>	<p>Site update</p> <p>Mark Lappin provided an overview of operational activity, advising that Cuadrilla has completed drilling a vertical pilot well to a depth of over 2.7 km. He noted that early analysis of the data is very encouraging.</p> <p>Councillor Hayhurst asked how far the horizontal well will be drilled and Mark Lappin confirmed that the well will be drilled approximately 1 km to the west. Elaborating on this, Councillor Hayhurst cited recent comments made by INEOS that drilling could occur under the North Yorkshire Moors and questioned how far drilling could be undertaken.</p> <p>Mark Lappin explained that the comments made reference to the potential for shale gas operators with PEDLs that border National Parks to drill underneath land. He advised that this would prevent operational activity being visible within the National Park. With regard to drilling distance, Laura Hughes referred to drilling up to 15 km in Malaysia, but noted that Wytch Farm in Dorset, the largest</p>	

	<p>onshore oil field in western Europe, has drilled up to a few kilometres.</p> <p>Councillor Brickles advised that she had posted a request on social media for local people to submit questions that could be raised at the meeting; she advised that 120 individuals had raised comments. Councillor Brickles and Councillor Cox advised that the issues had been grouped into themes, and the following questions were asked:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Why has Cuadrilla sent samples to the United States? Mark Lappin confirmed that samples have been sent to Canada due to its experience and expertise in the shale gas industry. – Where was the seismic surveying undertaken? Mark Lappin confirmed that geophysical survey was undertaken on the site. He noted that consideration had been given to move the unit on to the field, but it was left on the site due to weather conditions. – What results were obtained? Mark Lappin confirmed that Cuadrilla obtained the data it required. – When will the data for the seismic testing be available? Mark Lappin explained that the geophysical data will be analysed, but advised that this is not usually made publically available. He advised that he would be willing to consider this, but emphasised the difficulty in presenting complex information that is not easy to understand. Instead, Mark Lappin suggested that the information could be provided via the ePortal or explained during a PNR Live session. – A representative of Lancashire for Shale stated on the radio that the gas would remain in the County. How can this be achieved? Laura Hughes provided an explanation of how the gas grid operates and advised that as the exploration site only has consent for four wells with low pressure the gas would only be able to reach the local market. Citing commitments made by Independent Energy for the residents of Elswick to benefit from local gas, but which failed to happen, Councillor Hayhurst questioned whether gas will be provided to local people from Cuadrilla’s operation. – Has Cuadrilla plans to contribute to improving local roads that have been damaged as a result of the operation at Preston New Road? Mark Lappin questioned the impact of Cuadrilla’s operation due to an additional 50 HGVs on the highway network compared with other high levels of traffic including HGVs. – Is there an update on the preparation of an Emergency Plan? Mark Lappin confirmed that an Emergency Response Plan has been prepared and Cuadrilla has engaged with the emergency services regarding this. – Does the Emergency Plan consider the evacuation of local residents and nearby schools? Mark Lappin questioned what residents are specifically 	
--	--	--

	<p>concerned about and Councillor Cox cited concerns regarding the use of chemicals. Steve Molyneux explained that the site is not a COMAH site (Control of Major Accident Hazards) and therefore has a generic Emergency Plan to deal with potential incidents onsite.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Now that Cuadrilla has reached the shale, is there anything coming back up to the surface, such as Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials (NORMS)? Mark Lappin confirmed that nothing is flowing from the reservoir to the surface. <p>With regard to the Community Benefit Fund, Christopher Holliday advised that his neighbour had received a lower amount than originally proposed payment. Mark Lappin advised that only two payment amounts had been made depending on proximity to the site. Jackie Dobson requested that the neighbour contact her directly or via the community information line to discuss further. She noted that an estimated amount had been stipulated in the community newsletter which he may be referring to.</p> <p>Referring to a statement made by AJ Lucas, Christopher Holliday noted that the operation had been delayed due to weather and technical difficulties. He requested clarification regarding the technical difficulties experienced and Mark Lappin explained that these referred to standard operational matters as we drill the well.</p> <p>Christopher Holliday stated that recent drone footage shows evidence of excavation and questioned what this relates to. Mark Lappin explained that this was to address a drainage issue south of the site.</p> <p>Christopher Holliday asked whether there had been any night time convoys and Mark Lappin confirmed that none had been made. Councillor Ansell questioned whether a HGV had arrived onsite after 6.30pm on Tuesday, 9th January. Both Chief Inspector Ogle and Mark Lappin confirmed this arrived on site at 6.30pm.</p>	
<p>5.</p>	<p>Lancashire County Council Update</p> <p>Providing an update from Lancashire County Council, Jonathan Haine advised that one complaint had been received. He explained that the complaint relates to an alleged wellbore leak. Elaborating on this, Jonathan Haine explained that drone footage from Friday, 5th January shows two dead animals and red stained water in a nearby stream; he noted that the assumption is that the animals have drunk the water and died. He noted that streams often discolour naturally and this does not demonstrate evidence of a wellbore leak, but confirmed that the complaint has been passed to the Environment Agency.</p> <p>With regard to the noise monitoring, Jonathan Haine reiterated the difficulties</p>	

	<p>associated with differentiating between noises identified by the monitoring equipment due to the actual sensor being located in close proximity to Preston New Road.</p> <p>Earlier in the meeting, Jonathan Haine had explained that the audio recording is triggered at the monitoring station (L1) when the equipment detects noises above the agreed limit at the monitoring station closer to the rig (L2). He went on to advise attendees that a new monitoring station (L3) is proposed within the site to further monitor noise and will record continuously.</p> <p>Councillor Harrison questioned why L3, a location closer to the site, had not been previously proposed. Jonathan Haine advised that most sites will measure to the nearest residential receptor, but in this instance, it has proven difficult to distinguish between noise from operational activity and high levels of background noise at the sensor close to the road. Councillor Hayhurst welcomed this approach and suggested that it would be helpful.</p> <p>Referring to a question raised via the social media post, Councillor Cox asked:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Now that there is a blue line painted on the ground within the bell mouth, does this mean the heras fencing will be removed? Jonathan Haine acknowledged that this is no longer needed, but cited poor ground conditions as the reason why it had not been removed. He noted that there is no requirement to remove the heras fencing other than for good housekeeping. <p>In response, Chief Inspector Ogle raised concerns that removing the fencing could lead to protestor camps and the temptation for campaigners to break the law as had been the case previously. He referred to the erection of structures from pallets in the summer that had posed a danger to public safety. Mark Lappin accepted the desire to maintain good housekeeping, but acknowledged that this should not compromise security.</p> <p>It was agreed that Lancashire Police, Lancashire County Council and Cuadrilla would liaise further and Councillor Hayhurst requested this be added to next month’s agenda.</p>	<p>Lancashire Police, Lancashire County Council and Cuadrilla to discuss</p> <p>Jackie Dobson to add to the agenda</p>
<p>6.</p>	<p>Industry regulators update</p> <p>Steve Molyneux of the Environment Agency (EA) advised that since Cuadrilla commenced its operation at Preston New Road, 10 inspections have been undertaken and 2 audits. He noted that an inspection was undertaken in December 2017 regarding surface water management and several potential</p>	

	<p>improvements identified.</p> <p>Referring to Councillor Ansell’s request for a monthly briefing note detailing waste disposal from the site, Steve Molyneux reiterated that this had been circulated to members via the secretariat. He noted that a flow diagram would be produced and provided to members in due course.</p> <p>A brief discussion was had regarding waste disposal, including the request from Councillor Ansell for details regarding all waste streams and timescales for disposal. Citing the example of paper and cardboard, Steve Molyneux illustrated the difficulty in providing details of timescales for waste disposal, noting that this is dependent on third party suppliers. He suggested that this is explored further once the flow chart is produced.</p> <p>With regard to the Hydraulic Fracture Plan, Steve Molyneux advised that this is currently being assessed and that the latest monitoring data would be provided on the Citizen Space shortly. He encouraged attendees to provide any feedback on Citizen Space as the EA is committed to ensuring it is user-friendly and accessible.</p> <p>A written statement from Tony Almond of the Health & Safety Executive was circulated to attendees.</p>	<p>Steve Molyneux to produce flow diagram</p>
<p>7.</p>	<p>Lancashire Police update</p> <p>Chief Inspector Ogle introduced himself to attendees, advising that he is Silver Commander for Operation Manilla; the police operation relating to Cuadrilla’s activity at Preston New Road.</p> <p>He advised that protestor activity had been quiet over the festive period, but had increased from Friday, 5th January as a result of activity that marked the anniversary of Cuadrilla’s operation. He complimented Cuadrilla for taking a non-confrontational approach to protests held on the anniversary including reducing movements to/from site.</p> <p>Chief Inspector Ogle went on to note that there had been two recent lock-ons and a lorry surfer that caused disruption and inconvenience for Cuadrilla, sub-contractors and the local community. He referred to an increase in police resource as a direct result of increased activity, but hoped this could be reduced when activity resumes to ‘normal’ levels.</p> <p>Councillor Brickles asked several questions raised via the social media post, notably:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Referring to an incident whereby Lancashire Police had facilitated the 	

	<p>removal of equipment from a flat bed lorry, Chief Inspector Ogle was asked whether officers have become Cuadrilla’s bouncers? Councillor Ogle disputed this suggestion, advising that officers have to balance the right to peacefully protest, the rights of Cuadrilla to undertake lawful activity and the rights of the local community to go about their daily business.</p> <p>He noted that the police have a duty to protect life and that this had been considered when a lorry surfer had mounted the flatbed lorry. He explained that the decision was made to allow Cuadrilla to remove equipment from the vehicle which resulted in the lorry surfer climbing down from the vehicle, thus preventing injury. Chief Inspector Ogle noted that this also meant police resource was not required to protect the lorry surfer. He noted that officers endeavour to be firm, fair and friendly at all times.</p> <p>– Chief Inspector Ogle was asked why there is an inconsistent approach by police officers regarding the use of handcuffs to detain protestors. In response, he noted that the decision to use handcuffs is at an officer’s discretion, but accepted that younger officers appear more inclined to use handcuffs.</p> <p>Referring to a recent community meeting with Lancashire Police where this issue had been raised, Councillor Cox noted that campaigners support the significant improvements made regarding this. Chief Inspector Ogle emphasised his aspiration for a better working relationship between Lancashire Police and the campaigners, acknowledging that the vast majority of the protestors are law abiding citizens.</p> <p>Councillor Cox asked why Cuadrilla’s security team are now wearing yellow hi-vis jackets, noting that this is causing confusion at the site as people are unable to identify police officers. Mark Lappin explained that staff the hi-vis jackets recently worn by some staff are warmer and provide higher visibility, but would consider whether an alternative colour would be preferable.</p> <p>A brief discussion was had regarding social media, with Sergeant Hill noting that comments on a page cannot be blocked. Furthermore, he explained that a recent post made by Lancashire Police regarding the peaceful protest had attracted 400 comments in two hours. He noted that Lancashire Police does not have resource to review each comment relating to such posts.</p> <p>Mary Finn asked for an update on the request made by Lancashire Police for recompense for the ongoing policing operation. Chief Inspector Ogle noted that he had received a visit from a senior representative from Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and expected a decision made in the next six to seven months. He noted that Clive Grunshaw, Lancashire's Police and Crime</p>	<p>Mark Lappin to consider</p>
--	--	------------------------------------

	<p>Commissioner, has called on the Government to cover the costs of policing the protests.</p> <p>Councillor Hayhurst suggested that members of the CLG write to Mark Menzies, Member of Parliament for Fylde, to encourage him to influence the Government. He noted that tax payers in Lancashire will be expected to pay for policing of future fracking sites across the County, citing potential sites in Chorley and Burnley.</p>	
8.	<p>ePortal</p> <p>Christopher Holliday acknowledged that several revisions have been made to the ePortal following a meeting held with Mark Lappin. He asked for an update regarding his request for the ePortal to be able to compare historical data. Mark Lappin advised that data can currently be compared on a month by month basis, but acknowledged that it is not easy to do.</p> <p>He advised that providing an overview of historical data would require substantial changes to the existing system and is currently being reviewed. Christopher Holliday reminded Mark Lappin of his intention to provide more detailed noise data separately from the ePortal and Mark Lappin agreed to follow-up.</p>	<p>Mark Lappin to provide graphs to CLG members</p>
9.	<p>Agreed date of next meeting</p> <p>It was agreed that the next meeting should take place at:</p> <p>7pm on Monday, 5th February at Wrea Green Institute, Station Road, Wrea Green, Preston, PR4 2PH.</p>	
10.	<p>Any other business</p> <p>Councillor Brickles suggested that an additional item should be added to the agenda to raise questions from the public. She suggested that this would improve efficiency and reduce the amount of time spent discussing points raised.</p> <p>Referring to the Terms of Reference that states meetings should run to two hours, Councillor Hayhurst welcome any suggestion to reduce the duration of meetings, particularly given the presence of two police officers.</p> <p>It was agreed that at the next meeting, a Question & Answer session would be provided ahead of the update from Lancashire Police and Cuadrilla's site update.</p> <p>Councillor Hayhurst closed the meeting.</p>	<p>Jackie Dobson to update the agenda to reflect change</p>

Health & Safety Executive Update

Apologies from Tony ALMOND

Operations are continuing in line with the Notification and HSE is updated on a weekly basis as required by the health and safety regulations. There have been no new concerns raised or Freedom of Information requests received by the HSE regarding the Preston New Road well site. All previous concerns and Freedom of Information requests raised with the HSE have been responded to.